

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING E		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/465,396	09/465,396 12/17/1999		MICHAEL R. STINSON	12553-US	8821
23553	7590	10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
	& CLERK		PENDLETON, BRIAN T		
P.O. BOX STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OTTAWA	ON KIP	5S7	2644	Ò	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,,	<i></i>					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/465,396	STINSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian T. Pendleton	2644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No	ovember 2002.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-89 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-40 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 41-89 are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 1999</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Ratent and Trademert Office	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/465,396

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 41-62, drawn to a method of making a directional microphone apparatus with a steerable beam, classified in class 381, subclass 92.
 - II. Claims 63-68, drawn to a method of steering a beam in a directional microphone apparatus, classified in class 381, subclass 92.
 - III. Claims 69-81 and 85-87, drawn to a microphone apparatus, classified in class381, subclass 92.
 - IV. Claims 82-84, drawn to a method of improving a performance of a microphone array, classified in class 381, subclass 92.
 - V. Claims 88 and 89, drawn to a method of determining optimum weights for a beamformer with an array of microphones, classified in class 381, subclass 92.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. Invention I is directed to constructing a directional microphone for a particular sound field which has different function from beamforming expressed in invention II. Invention V also has a different function from both of the inventions since it deals with determining optimum weights using a wave equation.

Application/Control Number: 09/465,396

Art Unit: 2644

- 3. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it is directed to a microphone apparatus which is used to create a beam pattern irrespective of the gain of the array. The subcombination has separate utility such as improving the signal to noise ratio of an array microphone apparatus.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for the individual groups are separate, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I Figure 4, Species II Figure 26, Species III Figure 35.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/465,396

Art Unit: 2644

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (703) 305-9509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

15. 7. 2. The

btp

XU MEI PRIMARY EXAMINER